

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA1190937**

Filing date: **02/14/2022**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding no.	92077994
Party	Defendant Rare Beauty, LLC
Correspondence address	PATCHEN M. HAGGERTY PERKINS COIE LLP P.O. BOX 2608 SEATTLE, WA 98103 UNITED STATES Primary email: pctrademarks@perkinscoie.com Secondary email(s): phaggerty@perkinscoie.com, cganin@perkinscoie.com, cmacgregor@perkinscoie.com (206) 359-8000
Submission	Motion to Suspend for Civil Action
Filer's name	Patchen M. Haggerty
Filer's email	pctrademarks@perkinscoie.com, phaggerty@perkinscoie.com, cganin@perkinscoie.com, cmacgregor@perkinscoie.com
Signature	/Patchen M. Haggerty/
Date	02/14/2022
Attachments	Motion to Suspend for Civil Action - RARE BEAUTY.pdf(382832 bytes)

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

RAREBEAUTY COSMETICS, LLC,

Petitioner,

v.

RARE BEAUTY, LLC

Registrant.

Cancellation No.: 92077994

Mark: RARE BEAUTY

Registration No.: 6,222,233

MOTION TO SUSPEND FOR CIVIL ACTION

Pursuant to 37 CFR 2.117(a), TBMP 510.02(a), Rare Beauty LLC (“Registrant”), by its undersigned counsel, hereby moves the Board to suspend the above-referenced cancellation proceeding (the “Cancellation”) pending final disposition of the federal district court case in the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division, between the same parties, *Rare Beauty, LLC v. RareBeauty Cosmetics LLC*, Case No. 1:22-cv-00533, which raises issues of fact and law that will have a bearing on the issues presented in the Cancellation.

The Board may suspend proceedings when the parties are engaged in a civil action that may have a bearing on the case. 37 C.F.R. § 2.117(a); TBMP § 510.02(a). When the parties are involved in court proceedings concerning the same marks and issues, the “standard procedure” of the Board is to suspend its administrative proceedings pending the outcome of the civil litigation. *See New Orleans Louisiana Saints LLC v. Who Dat? Inc.*, 99 U.S.P.Q.2d 1550, 1552 (TTAB 2011). The civil action need not be dispositive of the Board proceeding. *Id.*

Here, the Cancellation and the civil action involve the same parties, the same marks, and the same goods and services. The outcome of the civil action will have significant bearing on the Cancellation, and may be dispositive of it.

As grounds for this motion, Registrant states as follows:

1. On December 15, 2020, Registrant's application for the mark RARE BEAUTY issued as U.S. Reg. No. 6,222,233 (the "Registration").
2. On September 3, 2021, Petitioner filed with the Board a Petition for Cancellation of the Registration, citing the grounds for cancellation as (i) priority and likelihood of confusion; (ii) no use of mark in commerce before application, amendment to allege use, or statement of use was filed; (iii) dilution by blurring; (iv) deceptiveness; (v) fraud on the USPTO; and (vi) common law infringement; and that, accordingly, Petitioner will be damaged by the continued registration of the RARE BEAUTY mark by Registrant.
3. On January 29, 2022, Registrant filed a complaint against Petitioner in the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division, Case No. 1:22-cv-00533, requesting that the court enter a declaratory judgment that, among other things, the Registration is valid and not be cancelled in connection with the Petition for Cancellation. Attached as **Exhibit A** is a copy of the Complaint (without exhibits) from the civil action in accordance with TBMP § 510.02(a).
4. Registrant submits that the determination of the civil action will have a bearing on the issues before the Board in this Cancellation because both proceedings involve a determination of whether the Registration is valid.

WHEREFORE, for these reasons, Registrant respectfully requests that the Board suspend the Cancellation until the civil action is resolved.

Dated: February 14, 2022

Respectfully Submitted,
PERKINS COIE LLP
By: /s/ Patchen M. Haggerty
Patchen M. Haggerty
PERKINS COIE LLP
1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900
Seattle, Washington 98101
(206) 359-8614
PHaggerty@perkinscoie.com
ATTORNEY FOR REGISTRANT,
RARE BEAUTY, LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing **MOTION TO SUSPEND FOR CIVIL ACTION** was sent via email on February 14, 2022 to counsel for Petitioner as follows:

MICHAEL CIRINO
CIRINO PATENT LAW LLC
44 PEACHTREE PL NW, #725
ATLANTA, GA 30309
UNITED STATES
MCIRINO@CIRINOPATENTLAW.COM

/s/ Patchen M. Haggerty
Patchen M. Haggerty
Attorney for Registrant, Rare Beauty,
LLC

EXHIBIT A

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION**

Rare Beauty, LLC)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	Civil Action No. <u>22-cv-00533</u>
v.)	
)	
RareBeauty Cosmetics LLC)	
)	
Defendant.)	

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

Plaintiff Rare Beauty, LLC (“Rare Beauty”) brings this complaint for declaratory relief against RareBeauty Cosmetics LLC (“Defendant”). For its complaint herein, Rare Beauty alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. Rare Beauty seeks a declaratory judgment that it is not infringing any rights that Defendant might have in the RARE BEAUTY mark. Such relief is necessary because Defendant has wrongfully and affirmatively accused Rare Beauty of trademark infringement and dilution, filed a petition to cancel Rare Beauty’s U.S. trademark registration for the RARE BEAUTY mark, and threatened to sue Rare Beauty for alleged trademark infringement unless Rare Beauty pays Defendant \$10,000,000.00.

PARTIES

2. Rare Beauty, LLC, is a Delaware limited liability company having a principal place of business at 222 N. Pacific Coast Highway, El Segundo, California 90245.

3. On information and belief, Defendant is an Illinois limited liability company with a principal office at 750 S. Homan Ave., #3E, Chicago, Illinois 60624.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action because it arises under 28 U.S.C. § 2201 (the Declaratory Judgment Act), 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question), and 28 U.S.C. § 1338 (original jurisdiction over trademark claims).

5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant has established minimum contacts with this forum. Defendant has substantial, continuous, and systemic contact with the State of Illinois. Defendant was founded in Illinois, is incorporated in Illinois, lists an office and registered agent in Illinois, and has sold products in Illinois to Illinois consumers. By these actions, Defendant has purposefully availed itself of the privilege of conducting business in this State and this judicial District.

6. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c) because a substantial part of the events giving rise to Rare Beauty's claims occurred in this District and because Defendant is subject to personal jurisdiction in this District.

7. An actual case or controversy exists between the parties. Defendant has repeatedly threatened to take legal action against Rare Beauty, has accused Rare Beauty of trademark infringement and related tortious acts, has insisted that Rare Beauty immediately cease using the RARE BEAUTY trademark, and has demanded that Rare Beauty pay Defendant \$10,000,000.00.

BACKGROUND FACTS

Rare Beauty and Its Business

8. Rare Beauty is a mission-driven beauty brand. Rare Beauty's vision is to create a safe, welcoming space in beauty—and beyond—that supports mental well-being across age, gender identity, sexual orientation, race, cultural background, physical or mental ability, and perspective. Since at least as early as 2016, Rare Beauty and its predecessor-in-interest have

invested a substantial amount of time, money, and effort to develop, secure, promote, and advertise cosmetics, beauty tools, clothing, accessories, and related products and services (the “Rare Beauty Goods and Services”) under the trademark RARE BEAUTY (the “RARE BEAUTY Mark”).

9. Following years of development, Rare Beauty launched on February 4, 2020, with an Instagram post that reached 168 million followers. Between February 2020 and August 2020, Rare Beauty advertised and promoted its brand on social media and elsewhere.

10. On September 3, 2020, Rare Beauty began offering for sale its first line of beauty products in U.S., Canada, and Mexico. This product launch covered 14 categories and 150 SKUs, including lipsticks, liquid blush, eyeliner, brow pencils, a diverse range of foundation and concealer shades, and other related products.

11. Since then, Rare Beauty has enjoyed enormous success, generating millions in retail sales and attracting more than 2.9 million followers on Instagram.

Rare Beauty’s Trademark Rights

12. In August 2016, Rare Beauty, through its predecessor-in-interest, first conceived and prepared to commence use of the mark RARE BEAUTY in connection with cosmetics and related products, including conceptualizing products, working with manufacturers and vendors, finalizing artwork, and, in March 2017, conducting a trademark clearance search for the mark RARE BEAUTY. That trademark clearance search disclosed no prior trademark registrations or uses of RARE BEAUTY in connection with cosmetics.

13. To secure its trademark rights, Rare Beauty, through its predecessor-in-interest, publicly applied to register the mark RARE BEAUTY with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (“USPTO”) on November 20, 2017. The intent-to-use application was assigned U.S.

Application Serial No. 87/692,337.¹ The application was reviewed by the USPTO and ultimately published for third-party review and opposition in the *Official Gazette* on January 26, 2019. The application was not opposed. The application was subsequently registered on December 15, 2020. The registration details are as follows:

Mark	U.S. Reg. No.	U.S. Reg. Date	Goods/Services
RARE BEAUTY	6222233	December 15, 2020	Cl. 3: cosmetics, namely, color cosmetics; eyeshadow; blush; facial concealer; foundation; skin bronzer; face powder; eyebrow colors; eyeliner; mascara; lipstick; lip gloss; lip balm; makeup primers; cosmetic facial blotting papers

(“RARE BEAUTY Registration”).

14. Rare Beauty owns additional trademark registrations both in the U.S. and worldwide for the mark RARE BEAUTY. Rare Beauty’s U.S. trademark registrations are summarized in the chart below:

Mark	U.S. Reg. No.	U.S. Reg. Date	Goods/Services
RARE BEAUTY	6373643	June 1, 2021	Cl. 35: retail store services featuring cosmetics, makeup, makeup applicators and tools, and skincare products; online retail store services featuring cosmetics, makeup, makeup applicators and tools, and skincare products
RARE BEAUTY DISCOVERY	6381938	June 8, 2021	Cl. 3: cosmetics
RARE BEAUTY TRUE TO MYSELF	6381963	June 8, 2021	Cl. 3: cosmetics

¹ This application was assigned to Rare Beauty on September 23, 2019.

15. Rare Beauty also owns pending trademark applications in the U.S. for the marks RARE and RARE BEAUTY. Rare Beauty's pending U.S. trademark applications are summarized in the chart below:

Mark	U.S. Ser. No.	U.S. Filing Date	Goods/Services
RARE	88247475	January 2, 2019	Cl. 3: cosmetics for personal care; non-medicated skin care preparations, namely, topical skin sprays for cosmetic purposes, lotions and non-medicated patches, namely, non-medicated patches for the treatment of acne, blemishes and wrinkles
RARE BEAUTY KIND WORDS	90885505	August 16, 2021	Cl. 3: lipstick

16. Since at least 2016, Rare Beauty and its predecessor-in-interest have invested a substantial amount of time, money, and effort into building goodwill and consumer recognition in its RARE BEAUTY Mark such that consumers have come to identify the RARE BEAUTY Mark as designating the source of the Rare Beauty Goods and Services.

Defendant and Its Business

17. Upon information and belief, KeSheena Heard is the sole owner of Defendant.

18. Upon information and belief, Ms. Heard launched a Facebook page under the name RAREBEAUTY COSMETICS on April 4, 2017.

19. Since at least as early as November 20, 2017, Ms. Heard has had both actual and constructive knowledge of Rare Beauty's RARE BEAUTY Mark in connection with the Rare Beauty Goods and Services.

20. Since at least as early as April 2017, on information and belief, Ms. Heard considered filing a trademark application for the mark RAREBEAUTY COSMETICS and

consistently monitored the USPTO's Trademark Electronic Search System ("TESS"), media, and other business resources.

21. Ms. Heard formed Defendant as a corporate entity with the Illinois Secretary of State on May 9, 2018.

22. Despite monitoring the TESS records and having both actual and constructive knowledge of Rare Beauty's intent to use the RARE BEAUTY Mark in connection with the Rare Beauty Goods and Services since 2017, neither Ms. Heard nor Defendant opposed the U.S. Trademark Application No. 87/692,337 when it was published for opposition on January 26, 2019, contacted Rare Beauty or its predecessor-in-interest with a claim of prior trademark rights, or filed an application claiming any trademarks of her or its own.

23. Upon information and belief, the earliest date by which Defendant used the RAREBEAUTY COSMETICS mark in interstate commerce was at some time in 2018.

24. Upon information and belief, in August 2020, Ms. Heard filed for voluntary Chapter 7 bankruptcy and claimed that she had no assets.

The Controversy Between the Parties

25. After nearly four years of actual and constructive knowledge of Rare Beauty and the RARE BEAUTY Mark by Defendant and its founder, Defendant filed a petition to cancel the RARE BEAUTY Registration on September 3, 2021, with the USPTO's Trademark Trial and Appeal Board ("TTAB").²

26. As grounds for cancellation, Defendant claims priority and likelihood of confusion (15 U.S.C. § 1052(d)), dilution by blurring (15 U.S.C. § 1125(c)), deceptiveness (15

² Petition to Cancel was assigned Proceeding No. 92077994.

U.S.C. § 1052(a)), fraud on the U.S. Trademark Office (15 U.S.C. § 1064(3)), and common law infringement.

27. Rare Beauty has priority over Defendant in connection with its RARE BEAUTY Mark.

28. Rare Beauty is not aware of any instances of consumer confusion, nor has it received any misdirected customer inquiries related to Defendant in the years of the parties' coexisting use of the term RARE BEAUTY.

29. The parties have peacefully coexisted since 2017 and have peacefully coexisted in the marketplace since 2020.

30. Rare Beauty does not directly compete with Defendant's RAREBEAUTY COSMETICS offerings.

31. Rare Beauty targets separate and distinct consumers through different marketing channels from Defendant.

32. No harm has come to Defendant from Rare Beauty's years of coexisting use of the RARE BEAUTY mark in connection with the parties' respective goods and services.

33. The RARE BEAUTY Mark neither infringes nor dilutes whatever common law trademark rights Defendant might own.

34. The RARE BEAUTY Mark is not deceptive in connection with the Rare Beauty Goods and Services.

35. The RARE BEAUTY Mark was not obtained fraudulently.

36. In or about November 2021, Rare Beauty reached out directly to Defendant to discuss the potential resolution of Defendant's TTAB petition to cancel. Rare Beauty was (and remains) confident in its position and did not (and does not) want to harm a small business.

Thus, among other things, Rare Beauty offered to compensate Defendant for the value of its business and existing branded inventory and to assist Defendant in launching a business under a new brand. Those discussions did not resolve the dispute.

37. Upon information and belief, in or around December 2021, Defendant engaged counsel to represent it in connection with Defendant's TTAB petition to cancel Rare Beauty's RARE BEAUTY Registration.

38. Through counsel, the parties continued to engage in discussions between December 2021 and January 2022.

39. Despite Rare Beauty's best efforts, in those discussions, Defendant has repeatedly asserted that Rare Beauty is infringing Defendant's trademark rights, insisted that Rare Beauty stop using its RARE BEAUTY Mark, and demanded that Rare Beauty pay Defendant \$10,000,000.00. In the circumstances, Rare Beauty has a reasonable apprehension of litigation.

40. Given Defendant's threats and allegations, Rare Beauty requires, and is entitled to, a judicial declaration that there is no likelihood of confusion between, on the one hand, Rare Beauty's RARE BEAUTY Mark and, on the other, whatever rights Defendant might own; and therefore that Rare Beauty's RARE BEAUTY Mark does not infringe any rights owned by Defendant. Rare Beauty is similarly entitled to a declaration affirming the validity of the RARE BEAUTY Registration.

REQUEST FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

41. Rare Beauty incorporates and re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 40 above as if fully set forth herein.

42. Rare Beauty and its predecessor-in-interest have continuously prepared to use and/or used the RARE BEAUTY Mark since 2016 in connection with the Rare Beauty Goods and Services.

43. Rare Beauty has invested a substantial amount of time, money, and effort into building goodwill and consumer recognition in its RARE BEAUTY Mark such that consumers have come to identify the RARE BEAUTY Mark as designating the source of the Rare Beauty Goods and Services with Rare Beauty.

44. An actual, present, and justiciable controversy has arisen between Rare Beauty and Defendant concerning Rare Beauty's right to register and use its RARE BEAUTY Mark in connection with the Rare Beauty Goods and Services.

45. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2201 *et seq.*, the controversy between Rare Beauty and Defendant thus demands specific relief through a declaratory judgment that the RARE BEAUTY Mark: (i) does not infringe or dilute any rights that Defendant might own; (ii) is not deceptive in connection with the Rare Beauty Goods and Services; and (iii) was not obtained fraudulently. Rare Beauty is similarly entitled to a declaratory judgment that the RARE BEAUTY Registration is valid.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Rare Beauty seeks the following relief:

A. That the Court enter judgment declaring that Rare Beauty's use of the RARE BEAUTY Mark in connection with the RARE BEAUTY Goods and Services, does not violate § 32 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1114, § 43(a) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C., § 1125(a), or otherwise constitute trademark infringement under the Lanham Act or the common law of Illinois;

B. That the Court enter judgment declaring that Defendant has not suffered harm and is not entitled to injunctive relief, damages, or any other relief under 15 U.S.C. § 1117 or otherwise under law;

C. That the Court enter judgment declaring that the RARE BEAUTY Registration is valid and not be cancelled in connection with the TTAB Trademark Cancellation Proceeding No. 92077994;

D. That the Court award Rare Beauty its attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses incurred in this action; and

E. That the Court grant Rare Beauty such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: January 29, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Monica Gutierrez

Monica Gutierrez (No. 06326992)
Perkins Coie LLP
110 N. Wacker Drive
Suite No. 3400
Chicago, Illinois 60606-1511
Tel: (312) 324-8698
Fax: (312) 324-9400
Email: mgutierrez@perkinscoie.com

William C. Rava (to be admitted *pro hac vice*)
Patchen M. Haggerty (to be admitted *pro hac vice*)
Perkins Coie LLP
1201 Third Avenue
Suite No. 4800
Seattle, Washington 98101-3099
Tel: (206) 359-8000
Fax: (206) 359-9000
Email: wrava@perkinscoie.com
Email: phaggerty@perkinscoie.com

Colleen M. Ganin (to be admitted *pro hac vice*)
700 Thirteenth Street, N.W.
Suite 800
Washington, DC 20005-3960
Tel: (202) 654-6237
Fax: (202) 624-9598
Email: cganin@perkinscoie.com

**ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF
RARE BEAUTY, LLC**